arXiv:1407.2341v3 [math.CV] 4 Apr 2016

SUPPLEMENT TO L^2 THEORY OF $\bar\partial$ ON COMPLETE KÄHLER DOMAINS

BO-YONG CHEN

Abstract. We introduce a trick of dealing with L^2 estimates of $\bar\partial$ with singular weights on complete Kähler domains.

1. Introduction

A domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is said to be complete Kähler if it admits a complete Kähler metric. In [3], J.-P. Demailly obtained several rather general results which contain as special cases the following Hörmander type L^2 estimate for the $\bar\partial-$operator and Skoda type L^2 division theorem on complete Kähler domains:

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a complete Kähler domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and φ a psh function on Ω satisfying $i\partial\bar\partial\varphi \geq \Theta$ in the sense of distributions for some Kähler form Θ on Ω. For any $\bar\partial-$closed $(0,1)$ form v with $\int_\Omega |v|^2 e^{-\varphi} < \infty$ and $\int_\Omega |v|^2_\Theta e^{-\varphi} < \infty$, there exists $u \in L^2(\Omega, \mathrm{loc})$ such that $\bar\partial u = v$ and*

$$\int_\Omega |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} \leq \int_\Omega |v|^2_\Theta e^{-\varphi}.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a complete Kähler domain, and $f \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, $g \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)^{\oplus m}$, $\varphi \in PSH(\Omega)$. Put $q = \min\{n, m-1\}$. Suppose there exists a number $\alpha > 1$ such that*

$$\int_\Omega |f|^2 |g|^{-2(\alpha q+1)} e^{-\varphi} < \infty,$$

then there exists $h \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)^{\oplus m}$ satisfying $g \cdot h = f$ and

$$\int_\Omega |h|^2 |g|^{-2\alpha q} e^{-\varphi} \leq \mathrm{const}_\alpha \int_\Omega |f|^2 |g|^{-2(\alpha q+1)} e^{-\varphi}.$$

The basic difference between a pseudoconvex domain and a complete Kähler domain is that only the former can be exhausted by subdomains of same type. The purpose of this note is to introduce a general trick of dealing with L^2 estimates of $\bar\partial$ with *singular* weights on complete Kähler domains, through giving alternative approaches of the above theorems. The underlying idea goes back to Folland-Kohn [5], Bando [1] and Chen-Wu-Wang [2].

Unfortunately, we could not prove via the same trick the Ohsawa-Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem on complete Kähler domains, except the special case of L^2 extension from a single point, which still has a few amusing applications (see [2]).

It was pointed out in [8] that this trick actually works for more general situations of holomorphic line bundles with singular Hermitian metrics on complete Kähler manifolds (even complete Kähler is not necessary), thanks to Demailly's theory of regularization of quasi-psh functions (see e.g. [4]). Here we stick to the simplest case in order to make the arguments as transparent as possible.

2. Laplace-Beltrami equation with Dirichlet boundary condition

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary and ω a Kähler metric on $\overline{\Omega}$. Let φ be a smooth *strictly psh* function on $\overline{\Omega}$. Let $D_{(n,k)}(\Omega)$ be the set of smooth $(n,k)-$forms with compact support in Ω, and $L^2_{(n,k)}(\Omega,\varphi)$ the completion of $D_{(n,k)}(\Omega)$ w.r.t. the inner product induced by ω and φ. Let $\bar\partial^*_\varphi$ denote the corresponding formal adjoint of $\bar\partial$. Then we have the famous Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano inequality:

$$\|\bar\partial u\|^2_\varphi + \|\bar\partial^*_\varphi u\|^2_\varphi \geq ([i\partial\bar\partial\varphi,\Lambda]u,u)_\varphi \quad \text{for all } u \in D_{(n,1)}(\Omega). \tag{2.1}$$

Now define an Hermitian form as follows

$$L(u,v) = (\bar\partial u, \bar\partial v)_\varphi + (\bar\partial^*_\varphi u, \bar\partial^*_\varphi v)_\varphi, \quad u,v \in D_{(n,1)}(\Omega).$$

Put $\|u\|_L = \sqrt{L(u,u)}$. By (2.1), we have $\|u\|_L \geq \text{const.}\|u\|_\varphi$ for all $u \in D_{(n,1)}(\Omega)$, so that $\|\cdot\|_L$ becomes a norm. Since φ and ω are smooth on $\overline{\Omega}$, we conclude that $\|\cdot\|_L$ is equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_{W^{1,2}}$ on $D_{(n,1)}(\Omega)$, in view of Garding's inequality (see [5], p. 24).

Let H denote the Hilbert space of $(n,1)$ forms with coefficients lying in the classical Sobolev space $W^{1,2}_0(\Omega)$ (with respect to the Euclidean metric). Then $(H, \|\cdot\|_L)$ is still a Hilbert space.

Proposition 2.1. *For any $v \in L^2_{(n,1)}(\Omega,\varphi)$, there exists a unique $w \in H$ such that*

$$L(u,w) = (u,v)_\varphi \quad \textit{for all } u \in H \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\max\{([i\partial\bar\partial\varphi,\Lambda]w,w)_\varphi,\ \|\bar\partial w\|^2_\varphi,\ \|\bar\partial^*_\varphi w\|^2_\varphi\} \leq ([i\partial\bar\partial\varphi,\Lambda]^{-1}v,v)_\varphi. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. Consider the linear functional

$$F(u) = (u,v)_\varphi, \quad u \in H.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(u)|^2 &\leq ([i\partial\bar\partial\varphi,\Lambda]u,u)_\varphi\,([i\partial\bar\partial\varphi,\Lambda]^{-1}v,v)_\varphi \\ &\leq ([i\partial\bar\partial\varphi,\Lambda]^{-1}v,v)_\varphi\,\|u\|^2_L \end{aligned}$$

by (2.1), so that there exists a unique $w \in H$ satisfying (2.2), in view of the Riesz representation theorem. Furthermore, we have $\|w\|_L \leq 1$ if we assume $([i\partial\bar\partial\varphi,\Lambda]^{-1}v,v)_\varphi = 1$ for the sake of simplicity. By (2.1), we also have $([i\partial\bar\partial\varphi,\Lambda]w,w)_\varphi \leq 1$. □

Put $\Box_\varphi = \bar\partial\bar\partial^*_\varphi + \bar\partial^*_\varphi\bar\partial$. Clearly, we have

$$L(u,v) = (\Box_\varphi u, v)_\varphi \quad \text{for all } u,v \in D_{(n,1)}(\Omega).$$

Thus the previous proposition essentially gives a (unique) weak solution of the Laplace-Beltrami equation $\Box_\varphi w = v$. Since $\Box_\varphi$ is strongly elliptic, we conclude that w is smooth whenever v is.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\tilde\omega$ be a complete Kähler metric on Ω. Put $\omega = \tilde\omega + \Theta$. Choose a smooth exhaustion function ρ on Ω satisfying $|d\rho|_{\tilde\omega} \leq 1$. Put $\Omega_j = \{z \in \Omega : \rho(z) < j\}$. For each j, we may choose a smooth strictly psh function φ_j on Ω_{j+1} such that $\varphi_j \downarrow \varphi$ as $j \to \infty$ and $i\partial\bar\partial\varphi_j \geq \Theta$ on $\overline{\Omega}_j$.

Let $\chi : \mathbb{R} \to [0,1]$ be a smooth cut-off function satisfying $\chi|_{(-\infty,1/2)} = 1$ and $\chi|_{(1,\infty)} = 0$. Assume first that $|\varphi|$ is *locally bounded* on Ω. Put $v_j = (\chi(\rho/j)v) * \lambda_{\varepsilon_j}$ where λ is a standard

Friedrichs mollifier and $\varepsilon_j \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. Since $\int_\Omega |v|^2_\Theta e^{-\varphi} < \infty$, so we may choose ε_j sufficiently small such that

$$\int_\Omega |v_j - v|^2_\Theta e^{-\varphi} \to 0 \quad (j \to \infty). \tag{3.1}$$

Since

$$\bar\partial v_j = (\bar\partial(\chi(\rho/j)v)) * \lambda_{\varepsilon_j} = (\bar\partial\chi(\rho/j) \wedge v) * \lambda_{\varepsilon_j},$$

so we get

$$\int_\Omega |\bar\partial v_j|^2_\omega e^{-\varphi} \to 0. \tag{3.2}$$

For each $(0,k)$ form u, we always write $\tilde u = dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge u$. Applying Proposition 2.1 to $(\Omega_j, \omega, \varphi_j)$, we get a smooth solution w_j of $\Box_{\varphi_j} w = \tilde v_j$ together with the following estimate

$$\max\{\|\bar\partial w_j\|^2_{\varphi_j},\, \|\bar\partial^*_{\varphi_j} w_j\|^2_{\varphi_j}\} \le ([i\partial\bar\partial\varphi_j, \Lambda]^{-1}\tilde v_j, \tilde v_j)_{\varphi_j} \le \int_\Omega |v_j|^2_\Theta e^{-\varphi}. \tag{3.3}$$

Put $\tilde u_j = \bar\partial^*_{\varphi_j} w_j$ on Ω_j. We may choose a weakly convergent subsequence $\{\tilde u_{j_k}\}$ in $L^2_{(n,0)}(\Omega, \mathrm{loc})$ such that the weak limit $\tilde u = u dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$ satisfies

$$\int_\Omega |u|^2 e^{-\varphi} \le \liminf_{j\to\infty} \int_\Omega |v_j|^2_\Theta e^{-\varphi} = \int_\Omega |v|^2_\Theta e^{-\varphi}.$$

Since $\tilde v_j = \bar\partial\tilde u_j + \bar\partial^*_{\varphi_j}\bar\partial w_j$, and $\tilde v_j \to \tilde v$ in the sense of distributions, in view of (3.1) (note that $|\varphi|$ is locally bounded), so $\bar\partial\tilde u = \tilde v$ (i.e., $\bar\partial u = v$) if and only if

$$\bar\partial^*_{\varphi_j}\bar\partial w_j \to 0 \tag{3.4}$$

in the sense of distributions. For any j, we put $\kappa_j = \chi(\varepsilon/(j-1))$. Clearly, $\operatorname{supp}\kappa_j \subset \Omega_j$. Since $\bar\partial\tilde v_j = \bar\partial\bar\partial^*_{\varphi_j}\bar\partial w_j$ on Ω_j, so we have

$$(\bar\partial\tilde v_j, \kappa_j^2\bar\partial w_j)_{\varphi_j} = (\bar\partial(\kappa_j^2\bar\partial^*_{\varphi_j}\bar\partial w_j), \bar\partial w_j)_{\varphi_j} - 2(\kappa_j\bar\partial\kappa_j \wedge \bar\partial^*_{\varphi_j}\bar\partial w_j, \bar\partial w_j)_{\varphi_j},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\kappa_j\bar\partial^*_{\varphi_j}\bar\partial w_j\|^2_{\varphi_j} &\le \|\bar\partial w_j\|_{\varphi_j}(\|\bar\partial\tilde v_j\|_{\varphi_j} + 2\varepsilon_j \sup|\chi'|\|\kappa_j\bar\partial^*_{\varphi_j}\bar\partial w_j\|_{\varphi_j}) \\ &\le \left(\int_\Omega |v_j|^2_\Theta e^{-\varphi}\right)^{1/2}(\|\bar\partial\tilde v_j\|_{\varphi_j} + 2\varepsilon_j \sup|\chi'|\|\kappa_j\bar\partial^*_{\varphi_j}\bar\partial w_j\|_{\varphi_j}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

It follows from (3.1) $\sim$ (3.3) that $\|\kappa_j\bar\partial^*_{\varphi_j}\bar\partial w_j\|_{\varphi_j} \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$.

Now let Ω' be any given relatively compact open subset in Ω. We may choose j_0 sufficiently large such that $\Omega' \subset \Omega_j$ and $\kappa_j = 1$ on Ω' for all $j \ge j_0$. Thus for any $f \in D_{(n,1)}(\Omega')$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|(\bar\partial^*_{\varphi_j}\bar\partial w_j, f)_{\varphi_{j_0}}\right| &= \left|(\kappa_j\bar\partial^*_{\varphi_j}\bar\partial w_j, f)_{\varphi_{j_0}}\right| \\ &\le \|\kappa_j\bar\partial^*_{\varphi_j}\bar\partial w_j\|_{\varphi_j}\|f\|_{\varphi_{j_0}} \\ &\to 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $j \to \infty$, so that (3.4) holds.

For general φ, we put $\varphi_{m,\varepsilon} = \max\{\varphi, -m\} + \varepsilon|z|^2$, $m = 1, 2, \cdots$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Let

$$\Theta_{m,\varepsilon} := \chi_{\{\varphi > -m\}}\Theta + \varepsilon i\partial\bar\partial|z|^2$$

where $\chi_{\{\varphi>-m\}}$ is the characteristic function on $\{\varphi > -m\}$. Clearly, we have $i\partial\bar\partial\varphi_{m,\varepsilon} \geq \Theta_{m,\varepsilon}$. For each m and ε, we have a solution $u_{m,\varepsilon}$ of the equation $\bar\partial u = v$ satisfying

$$\int_\Omega |u_{m,\varepsilon}|^2 e^{-\varphi_{m,\varepsilon}} \leq \int_\Omega |v|^2_{\Theta_{m,\varepsilon}} e^{-\varphi_{m,\varepsilon}} \leq \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\varphi\leq -m} |v|^2 e^{-\varphi} + \int_\Omega |v|^2_\Theta e^{-\varphi}$$

(here $\Theta_{m,\varepsilon}$ is not continuous, however since $|dz|^2_{\Theta_{m,\varepsilon}} \leq 1/\varepsilon$, the argument above still works). Since $\int_{\varphi>-m} |v|^2 e^{-\varphi} \to \int_\Omega |v|^2 e^{-\varphi}$ in view of Levi's theorem (notice that $|\varphi^{-1}(-\infty)| = 0$), it follows that $\int_{\varphi\leq -m} |v|^2 e^{-\varphi} \to 0$. Let u_ε be a weak limit of $\{u_{m,\varepsilon}\}_m$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathrm{loc})$. Then we have $\bar\partial u_\varepsilon = v$ and

$$\int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon|^2 e^{-\varphi-\varepsilon|z|^2} \leq \int_\Omega |v|^2_\Theta e^{-\varphi}.$$

It suffices to take a weak limit of $\{u_\varepsilon\}$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathrm{loc})$.

4. Modified Laplace-Beltrami equation with Dirichlet boundary condition

Let Ω be a bounded domain with smooth boundary in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and ω a Kähler metric on $\overline{\Omega}$. Let $g \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\Omega})^{\oplus m}$ with $|g| > 0$, and $\varphi \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$. Let $D_{(n,k)}(\Omega)$ be the set of smooth $(n,k)-$forms with compact support in Ω, and $L^2_{(n,k)}(\Omega,\varphi)$ the completion of $D_{(n,k)}(\Omega)$ w.r.t. the inner product induced by ω and φ. To solve the division problem it suffices to solve the following vector-valued $\bar\partial-$equation

$$\bar\partial u = v := f dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge \bar\partial(\bar g/|g|^2)$$

which satisfies $g \cdot u = 0$. Thus it is natural to introduce the following

$$D_k(\Omega) = \left\{u \in D_{(n,k)}(\Omega)^{\oplus m} : g \cdot u = 0\right\}$$

and

$$S_k(\Omega,\varphi) = \left\{u \in L^2_{(n,k)}(\Omega,\varphi)^{\oplus m} : g \cdot u = 0\right\}.$$

For each $u = (u_1, \cdots, u_m) \in L^2_{(n,k)}(\Omega,\varphi)^{\oplus m}$, we define

$$\|u\|_\varphi = \sqrt{\sum_k \|u_k\|^2_\varphi}.$$

Clearly, the completion of $D_k(\Omega)$ w.r.t. the norm $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$ is contained in $S_k(\Omega,\varphi)$. Yet it is not clear whether they actually *coincide*. As g is holomorphic, the $\bar\partial$ operator from $D_{(n,0)}(\Omega)^{\oplus m}$ to $D_{(n,1)}(\Omega)^{\oplus m}$ induces a new operator from $D_0(\Omega)$ to $D_1(\Omega)$, which is still denoted by the same symbol for the sake of simplicity. Let $\bar\partial^*_\varphi$ (resp. $\bar\partial^*_S$) denote the formal adjoint of $\bar\partial : D_{(n,0)}(\Omega)^{\oplus m} \to D_{(n,1)}(\Omega)^{\oplus m}$ (resp. $\bar\partial : D_0(\Omega) \to D_1(\Omega)$), w.r.t. the inner product $(\cdot,\cdot)_\varphi$. The following crucial observation is essentially due to Ohsawa:

Lemma 4.1 (cf. [6])**.** *For any $u \in D_1(\Omega)$, we have*

$$\bar\partial^*_S u = \bar\partial^*_\varphi u - \bar g \cdot \sum_{k=1}^m \bar\partial(\bar g_k/|g|^2) \lrcorner u_k$$

where "$\lrcorner$" is the contraction operator.

[1]The original formulation in [6] is slightly different.

Proof. It is easy to show that the orthogonal complement of $S_0(\Omega,\varphi)$ in $L^2_{(n,0)}(\Omega,\varphi)^{\oplus m}$ is

$$S_0(\Omega,\varphi)^\perp = \bar g\cdot L^2_{(n,0)}(\Omega,\varphi).$$

Since $L^2_{(n,0)}(\Omega,\varphi)$ is a separable Hilbert space and $D_{(n,0)}(\Omega)$ is dense in $L^2_{(n,0)}(\Omega,\varphi)$, we may choose by the Gram-Schmidt method a complete orthonormal basis $\{e_j\}\subset \bar g\cdot D_{(n,0)}(\Omega)$ of $S_0(\Omega,\varphi)^\perp$. Let $P(u)$ be the projection of $\bar\partial^*_\varphi u$ to $S_0(\Omega,\varphi)$, i.e.,

$$P(u) = \bar\partial^*_\varphi u - \sum_j (\bar\partial^*_\varphi u, e_j)_\varphi e_j.$$

Put $e_j = \chi_j \bar g/|g|$. Clearly $\chi_j\in D_{(n,0)}(\Omega)$ and $\{\chi_j\}$ forms a complete orthonormal basis of $L^2_{(n,0)}(\Omega,\varphi)$. Since $u\in D_1(\Omega)$ and

$$\bar\partial e_j = \bar\partial(|g|\chi_j)\cdot \bar g/|g|^2 + |g|\chi_j\cdot\bar\partial(\bar g/|g|^2),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(\bar\partial^*_\varphi u, e_j)_\varphi &= (u,\bar\partial e_j)_\varphi = (u, |g|\chi_j\cdot\bar\partial(\bar g/|g|^2))_\varphi\\ &= \sum_k (|g|\bar\partial(\bar g_k/|g|^2)\lrcorner u_k, \chi_j)_\varphi.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}P(u) &= \bar\partial^*_\varphi u - \sum_j\sum_k (|g|\bar\partial(\bar g_k/|g|^2)\lrcorner u_k,\chi_j)_\varphi e_j\\ &= \bar\partial^*_\varphi u - \bar g\cdot\sum_{k=1}^m \bar\partial(g_k/|g|^2)\lrcorner u_k,\end{aligned}$$

which clearly lies in $D_0(\Omega)$. For any $w\in D_0(\Omega)$, we have

$$(\bar\partial^*_S u, w)_\varphi = (u,\bar\partial w)_\varphi = (\bar\partial^*_\varphi u, w)_\varphi = (P(u),w)_\varphi.$$

Choosing $w = \bar\partial^*_S u - P(u)$, we immediately get $\bar\partial^*_S u = P(u)$. □

Put

$$\Phi_g(u) = \bar g\cdot\sum_{k=1}^m \bar\partial(\bar g_k/|g|^2)\lrcorner u_k.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$\|\bar\partial^*_\varphi u\|^2_\varphi \le \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}\|\bar\partial^*_S u\|^2_\varphi + \gamma\|\Phi_g(u)\|^2_\varphi$$

for all $u\in D_1(\Omega)$ and $\gamma>1$. Combining with the Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}\|\bar\partial^*_S u\|^2_\varphi + \|\bar\partial u\|^2_\varphi \ge ([i\partial\bar\partial\varphi,\Lambda]u,u)_\varphi - \gamma\|\Phi_g(u)\|^2_\varphi.$$

Now suppose there is a number $\gamma>1$ such that the RHS of the previous inequality is no less than $\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}([\Theta,\Lambda]u,u)_\varphi$ where Θ is a continuous positive $(1,1)-$form on $\overline{\Omega}$. It follows that

$$\|\bar\partial^*_S u\|^2_\varphi + \|\bar\partial u\|^2_\varphi \ge ([\Theta,\Lambda]u,u)_\varphi \quad \text{for all } u\in D_1(\Omega). \tag{4.1}$$

Put $\Box_S = \bar\partial\bar\partial^*_S + \bar\partial^*_S\bar\partial$. Clearly, we have

$$\|\bar\partial^*_S u\|^2_\varphi + \|\bar\partial u\|^2_\varphi = (\Box_S u,u)_\varphi \quad \text{for all } u\in D_1(\Omega).$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\Omega, \varphi)$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_S = \mathcal{H}_S(\Omega, \varphi)$) be the completion of $D_1(\Omega)$ in $S_1(\Omega, \varphi)$ w.r.t. the norm $\|\cdot\|_\varphi$ (resp. $\|\bar\partial_S^* \cdot\|_\varphi + \|\bar\partial \cdot\|_\varphi$). Clearly, $\mathcal{H}_S \subset \mathcal{H}$. Similar as §2, we may prove the following

Proposition 4.2. *For any $v \in \mathcal{H}$, there is a unique weak solution $w \in \mathcal{H}_S$ of the equation $\Box_S w = v$ such that*

$$\max\{([\Theta, \Lambda]w, w)_\varphi, \|\bar\partial_S^* w\|_\varphi^2, \|\bar\partial w\|_\varphi^2\} \le ([\Theta, \Lambda]^{-1}v, v)_\varphi.$$

5. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let us first recall the following

Lemma 5.1 (cf. Skoda [7])**.** *For any matrix $\zeta = (\zeta_{k\mu})_{m\times n}$, we have*

$$q\sum_k \sum_{\mu,\nu} \frac{\partial^2 \log|g|^2}{\partial z_\mu \partial \bar z_\nu}\zeta_{k\mu}\bar\zeta_{k\nu} \ge |g|^2 \left|\sum_k \sum_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\mu}(g_k/|g|^2)\zeta_{k\mu}\right|^2.$$

Assume first that $|g| > 0$ on Ω. We fix a complete Kähler metric ω on Ω and take a increasing sequence of smooth subdomains $\Omega_1 \subset\subset \Omega_2 \subset\subset \cdots$, so that $\Omega = \cup_j \Omega_j$. Choose strictly psh functions φ_j on $\overline{\Omega}_j$ such that $\varphi_j \downarrow \varphi$ as $j \to \infty$. Put

$$\psi_j = \varphi_j + \alpha q \log|g|^2.$$

In view of Skoda's lemma, we may choose $\gamma = \frac{\alpha+1}{2}$ in previous section such that

$$\|\bar\partial_S^* u\|_{\psi_j}^2 + \|\bar\partial u\|_{\psi_j}^2 \ge ([\Theta_j, \Lambda]u, u)_{\psi_j} \quad \text{for all } u \in D_1(\Omega_j),$$

where

$$\Theta_j = \frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1} i\partial\bar\partial\left(\varphi_j + \frac{\alpha-1}{2} q\log|g|^2\right)$$

is a smooth positive $(1,1)-$form on $\overline{\Omega}_j$. Put $v = f dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge \bar\partial(\bar g/|g|^2)$. Since

$$g \cdot v = f dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge \bar\partial(g\cdot \bar g/|g|^2) = f dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge \bar\partial 1 = 0$$

and $g \cdot (\kappa v) = 0$ for any $\kappa \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_j)$, it follows that $v \in \mathcal{H}_j = \mathcal{H}(\Omega_j, \psi_j)$. Thus in view of Proposition 4.2 there exists a unique $w_j \in \mathcal{H}_{S,j} = \mathcal{H}_S(\Omega_j, \psi_j)$ such that $\Box_S w_j = v$ on Ω_j and

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{\|\bar\partial w_j\|_{\psi_j}^2,\ \|\bar\partial_S^* w_j\|_{\varphi_j}^2\} \\ \le\ & ([\Theta_j, \Lambda]^{-1}v, v)_{\psi_j} \le \text{const}_\alpha \int_\Omega |f|^2 |g|^{-2(\alpha q+1)} e^{-\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from Skoda's lemma. Note also that w_j is smooth on Ω_j for $\Box_S$ is an elliptic operator. Put $u_j = \bar\partial_S^* w_j$. Then there is a weakly convergent subsequence $\{u_{j_k}\}$ in $L^2_{(n,0)}(\Omega, \text{loc})^{\oplus m}$ such that the weak limit u satisfies the following estimate

$$\int_\Omega |u|^2 |g|^{-2\alpha q} e^{-\varphi} \le \text{const}_\alpha \int_\Omega |f|^2 |g|^{-2(\alpha q+1)} e^{-\varphi}.$$

If $\bar\partial u = v$ holds in the sense of distributions, then we may conclude the proof by taking

$$h dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n = f\bar g/|g|^2 dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n - u.$$

Since $v = \Box_S w_j = \bar\partial u_j + \bar\partial_S^* \bar\partial w_j$, so to verify $\bar\partial u = v$ it suffices to show $\bar\partial_S^* \bar\partial w_j \to 0$ in the sense of distributions. This can be done by a similar argument as §3. We include it here

for the sake of completeness. Let ρ, χ, κ_j be given as before. Since $\bar\partial\bar\partial^*_S\bar\partial w_j = 0$ on Ω_j, so we have

$$0 \;=\; (\bar\partial\bar\partial^*_S\bar\partial w_j, \kappa_j^2\bar\partial w_j)_{\psi_j} = \|\kappa_j\bar\partial^*_S\bar\partial w_j\|^2_{\psi_j} - 2(\bar\partial^*_S\bar\partial w_j, \kappa_j\bar\partial\kappa_j\lrcorner\,\bar\partial w_j)_{\psi_j}.$$

It follows from Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality that

$$\|\kappa_j\bar\partial^*_S\bar\partial w_j\|_{\psi_j} \le 2\varepsilon_j \sup|\chi'|\|\bar\partial w_j\|_{\psi_j} \le \mathrm{const}_\alpha\varepsilon_j\int_\Omega |f|^2|g|^{-2(\alpha q+1)}e^{-\varphi}.$$

Now let Ω' be any given relatively compact open subset in Ω. We may choose j_0 sufficiently large such that $\Omega'\subset\Omega_j$ and $\kappa_j = 1$ on Ω' for all $j \ge j_0$. Thus for any $f \in D_{(n,1)}(\Omega')^{\oplus m}$ we have

$$|(\bar\partial^*_S\bar\partial w_j, f)_{\psi_{j_0}}| = |(\kappa_j\bar\partial^*_S\bar\partial w_j, f)_{\psi_{j_0}}| \le \|\kappa_j\bar\partial^*_S\bar\partial w_j\|_{\psi_j}\|f\|_{\varphi_{j_0}} \to 0$$

as $j\to\infty$, so that $\bar\partial^*_S\bar\partial w_j \to 0$ in the sense of distributions.

For general case, we may apply the previous argument to the complete Kähler domain $\Omega\backslash g_1^{-1}(0)$ (e.g., $\omega + \partial\bar\partial|g_1|^{-2}$ is a complete Kähler metric) and conclude the proof by Riemann's theorem on removable singularities.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Dr. Xieping Wang for catching an inaccuracy in the previous version of the paper.

References

[1] S. Bando, *Removable singularities for holomorphic vector bundles*, Tôhoku Math. J. **43** (1991), 61–67.
[2] B. Y. Chen, J. Wu and X. Wang, *Ohsawa-Takegoshi type theorem and extension of plurisubharmonic functions*, Math. Ann. **362** (2015), 305–319.
[3] J.-P. Demailly, *Estimations L^2 pour l'opérateur d'un fibré vectoriel holomorphe semi-positiv au dessus d'une variété kählérienne complète*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. **15** (1982), 457–511.
[4] ———, Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry, International Press, 2010.
[5] G. B. Folland and J. J. Kohn, The Newmann Problem for the Cauchy-Riemann Complex, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1972.
[6] T. Ohsawa, Analysis of Several Complex Variables, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **211**, American Mathematical Society, 2002.
[7] H. Skoda, *Application des techniques L^2 à la théorie des idéaux d'une algèbre de fonctions holomorphes avec poids*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **5** (1972), 545–579.
[8] X. Wang, *Private communication*.